

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Masashi Naito	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,889	08/01/2003			116734	9811
25944	7590	09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BE	RRIDG	E, PLC	LE, DINH THANH		
P.O. BOX 199					DANGE AND COURT
ALEXANDRI	A, VA	22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2816	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
		10/631,889	NAITO, MASASHI	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		DINH T. LE	2816	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIDE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIDE THE PROVISIONS OF THE PROVISION OF T	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) I tute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final. wance except for formal m	• •	s is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers		,	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupte oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abest rection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a least section for a least section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r r No(s)/Mail Date 8/1/03.	Paper	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/631,889

Art Unit: 2816

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, the recitation "the limit" on line 6 lacks antecedent basis. It is unclear what "any limit" on line 5 is, how it can be prescribed for the amplitude of the subject signal, and how the recitation "differential signal generating means" and "amplitude limiting means" is read on the preferred embodiment. Insofar as understood, no such means is seen on the drawings. The same is true for claims 6 and 7.

In claim 2, it is unclear what the "prescribed content" on line 3 is and the recitation "the filtered differential signal" on line 5 lacks clear antecedent basis. The same is true for reciting "the amplitude limitation" on line 7 of claim 3.

In claim 3, it is not understood how the means can be "carried out" on line 5 by digital processing and what the "digital processing", "symbols" and "subcarrier components" are since they are clearly defined.

In claim 4, the recitation "amplitude limitation" on line 8 is confusing because it is unclear if this is an additional" limitation" or further recitation of the previously claimed "limitation" in claim 3. The same is true for reciting "one or more combinations of a plurality of bands" on line 21 of claims 6-7.

In claim 6, it is unclear what the "combinations of a plurality of bands" are, how the bands can be taken out, what the "other filtering means" on line 7 is and how it is read on the preferred embodiment or seen on the drawings. The same is true for claims 7-9.

In claim 10, the recitation "the power" on line 6 lacks antecedent basis and it is unclear what the power is and how the signals can have the power.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The claims are allowable because the prior art does not show the combination of the differential signal generating means and the amplitude limiting means as recited in claim 1.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY CALLAHAN can be reached at (571) 272-1740.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DINH LE

Primary Examiner

August 27, 2004